He Died As a Direct Result of the HPV Vaccine
Mother of Dead Teenager Speaks Out
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In Brief

- The Facts:

  Christopher Bunch is a teenager who recently died as a result of the HPV vaccine, according to his mother, who has started an online petition to help stop and bring more awareness to the dangers associated with the HPV vaccine.

- Reflect On:

  With so many adverse reactions, so many studies and so many scientists questioning this vaccine, why does the mainstream make it 'taboo' to even question it?

HPV vaccine injuries are no longer extremely rare. In fact, neither are vaccine injuries in general. This is exemplified by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury
Act (NCVIA). To date, it’s paid approximately $4 billion to families with vaccine injured children. These adverse reactions are the reason why the Japanese government, for example, suspended its recommendation of the HPV vaccine. It’s also important to note that these injuries only take into account 1 percent of all vaccine injured children, seeing as how 99 percent of vaccine injuries go completely unreported. (Source) [1]


Furthermore, the NCVIA completely protects pharmaceutical companies from any blame, which means that they can get away with being completely careless with their products.

The latest example to make noise regarding HPV vaccine injury is Jennifer Robi, a 24-year-old former athlete and scholar who has been confined to a wheelchair since receiving her third Gardasil vaccine at the age of sixteen. She suffers continual uncontrolled neuro/muscular contractions (jerking), postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS), and many other symptoms of systemic autoimmune dysregulation.

Jennifer’s attorney, Sol Ajalat, initially brought her case in Vaccine Injury Compensation Program and then, following a judgment in the program, elected to proceed in civil court. Since VICA (the Vaccine Injury Compensation Act) forbids recoveries for product defect or negligence, Ajalat brought Jennifer’s civil case under the theories that Merck committed fraud during its clinical trials and then failed to warn Jennifer (and, by implication, other injured girls) about the high risks and overstated benefits of the vaccine.

You can read more about that story here. [2]


Another example I’ve written about in the past is a boy named Colton Berrett. You can read more about his case and watch an interview with him and his mother here. [3]

Scrolling through Colton’s mother’s Facebook page is how I became aware of another recent case. Mind you, there are thousands of cases but none of them seem to receive any media attention. This tragic case affected a young 14-year-old boy named Christopher Bunch, and nobody is hearing about his case either.

His mother recently started a petition to create more awareness, and it reads as follows, detailing her son’s story:

My 14 year old son received the 1st dose of the deadly and useless HPV VACCINE on June 29th 2018 and after only 46 days later he passed away on August 14th 2018. We took him to put local ER on the night of August 8th. They did testing for any virus or infection and all blood work came back negative. The Dr’s suggested my son be moved to Children’s Hospital in Iowa City. It was there that the Dr’s discovered my son had encephalitis. The Neurologist then came in and diagnosed him with ADEM DISEASE. From that point on my son spiraled downhill fast. He then went into respiratory failure, paralysis to the left side of his body, then stated having seizures. He was being treated with extremely high doses of steroids to combat the swelling in his brain. His case was so severe at this point that they had no other choice but to perform a craniotomy on the right side of his skull to help with brain swelling. His brain continued to swell and he ended up having a brain herniation. He was pronounced brain dead on August 14th 2018.

My son was only 14 years old, bright, smart, handsome, awesome baseball player, he was on the honor roll and just 2 days from starting high school. He died as a direct result of the HPV VACCINE. My son should have never been given this medicine as he has NO CERVIX! This drug has not been proven to prevent cervical cancer. Japan named this drug in 2014 because of so many adverse reactions. My
Christopher Bunch and another child named Colton Berrett both died as a result of the HPV VACCINE. There are hundreds of children that have died and thousands more that have been injured.


The story does briefly point out that “In rare cases, it can also occur after vaccination for measles, mumps, or rubella. In other cases, however, ADEM can set in without any triggers. Maynard said that her son had both recently had an infection and received vaccinations.”

ADEM disease is brain inflammation, which can occur following an HPV vaccination.

“When one looks at the independent literature, so studies that are not sponsored by the vaccine manufacturers, so with relation to Gardasil there have been several reports documenting multiple sclerosis and encephalitis, which is brain inflammation, in girls who have received their Gardasil vaccine.” – Dr. Lucija Tomljenovic, PhD, Post-doctoral Fellow at the University of British Columbia where she works in Neurosciences and the Department of Medicine (source) [7] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w5MDipufkYU

In July 2016, a case was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, Los Angeles County (central district). The case involved a 16-year-old female who, between 2010 and 2011, received three injections of Gardasil, the HPV vaccination manufactured by Merck. Shortly after she received her third vaccination, she suffered a severe adverse reaction, the nature and complexity of which failed to be diagnosed until 2015 when she finally received the diagnosis of Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS).

The family decided to file a case against the manufacturer of the vaccine, Merck.

It is a well-known fact that all pharmaceutical products must undergo extensive pre-marketing clinical trials, often spanning several years before the FDA can consider the product for licensing.
The complaint written by the family’s attorney stated that: [8]

“Upon approval by the FDA of the Gardasil vaccine, Defendants Merck, Does 1 through 25, and each of them commenced and engaged in highly extensive, and aggressive marketing practices, which were designed primarily, if not solely, to increase the sales and profits from Gardasil. In doing so, Defendants Merck, Does 1 through 25, and each of them, in order to preclude any and all questions by consumers, patients and others, as to the effectiveness, safety and need for the administration of the Gardasil vaccination as well as the risks of serious adverse reaction related thereto, intentionally, wrongfully and deceitfully withheld, failed to provide and concealed from consumers, patients and others material facts and information with respect to the effectiveness, safety and need for the administration of the Gardasil vaccination, as well as the risks of serious adverse reaction related thereto and as in part hereafter set forth.”

The complaint continued by describing each and every misdemeanor that Merck was thought to have participated in. It stated:

“Further, Defendants Merck and Does 1 through 25 in its Marketing wrongfully and deceitfully failed to unambiguously inform those to whom the marketing was directed, of material facts and information which they knew or should have ascertained through their investigations and studies specific to risk/benefit and quantitative risk assessments regarding and including, among other things, the following:

1. The five-year period that the Gardasil vaccine was then only known to be effective;

2. That Gardasil was effective only as to certain and no other strains of the HPV virus;

3. The Gardasil vaccine is not effective once an individual is infected with the HPV virus;

4. Other existing methods that is effective in avoiding HPV viral infections;
5. The minimal risk that even once the individual was infected with the HPV virus the infection would result in precancerous lesions;

6. The successfule of exiting methods of diagnosing and treating HPV precancerous lesions;

7. The successfule of exiting methods of diagnosing and treating any resulting cancer;

8. The nature as the consequences of serious adverse reactions to the HPV vaccine; and

9. Other items related and material to risk/benefit and quantitative risk assessments not now known and if required leave of Court will be requested to amend this complaint to set forth fully such item or items when ascertained.

Such information was and is reasonably required by patients and consumers as well as others when considering and deciding whether or not under their individual and personal circumstances to be vaccinated with Gardasil.”


Not only did the family and their attorney outline an excellent and well thought out case, they went one step further and suggested that the court hold a Science Day Hearing.

This kind of thing also compliments documents that were uncovered by Dr. Lucija Tomljenovic (quoted earlier in the article). The documents reveal that the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization (JCVI) [9] made “continuous efforts to withhold critical data on severe adverse reactions and contraindications to vaccinations to both parents and health practitioners in order to reach overall vaccination rates, which they deemed were necessary for herd immunity.” [10] (Source) [11] [9] https://www.gov.uk/government/groups/joint-committee-on-vaccination-and-immunisation
Shouldn’t it be illegal to deliberately conceal information from parents for the sole purpose of getting them to comply with an official vaccination schedule?

When I came across a study published in the journal [12] *Pediatrics* where researchers used a national survey asking approximately 600 doctors to outline their stance on the HPV vaccine, I was pleased to find the study discovered that a large percentage of pediatricians and family doctors, approximately one third of those surveyed, did not strongly recommend the HPV vaccine to parents and preteens. It would have been great if the study went into the reasons they don’t support this vaccine, as many doctors severely lack knowledge about vaccines, the bioaccumulation of their ingredients, etc. They’re often only able to explain how vaccines work, but then their knowledge regarding vaccines doesn’t extend beyond that, unfortunately. A study published in the journal *Ebiomedicine* [13] provides deeper insight into this, stating how, “over the past two decades several vaccine controversies have emerged in various countries... including adverse effects and eroding confidence in health authorities, experts, and science.” The study points out that, for these reasons, vaccine hesitancy has been observed in the general population and continues to increase.

These are all important things to discuss, and it’s important to note that vaccine hesitancy is now being observed because of science. This is an important point because, as we move through 2019, “anti-vaccination” proponents have been classified as one of the biggest health threats according to the World Health Organization (WHO). If questioning vaccine safety and having a discussion about it is a threat, something is very wrong here. When one side makes the other feel stupid, or even forbids one from questioning something, that is far from science.
An Example

Like I said, there are many concerns regarding vaccines, including their ingredients. Heavy metal adjuvants have been added to vaccines for more than 100 years without any safety testing at all. They’ve simply been presumed safe.

“Despite their long use as active agents of medicines and fungicides, the safety levels of these substances have never been determined, either for animals or for adult humans—much less for fetuses, newborns, infants, and children.” – Jose G. Dorea, Professor at the University of Brasilia’s department of nutritional sciences. (Source) [14] [14] http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4344667/

A 2012 study published in BioMed Central outlined this point quite clearly when it found that “Intramuscular injection of alum-containing vaccine was associated with the appearance of aluminum deposits in distant organs, such as spleen and brain where they were still detected one year after injection. Both fluorescent materials injected into muscle translocated to draining lymph nodes (DLNs) and thereafter were detected associated with phagocytes in blood and spleen.” [15] [15] http://bmcmedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1741-7015-11-99

Then, in 2015, a study [16] published in Frontiers of Neurology explained why this bio-persistence — demonstrated by its “capacity to migrate in lymphoid organs and then disseminate throughout the body within monocyte-lineage cells and progressively accumulate in the brain” — is so troublesome. It also pointed out that, “in spite of their long usage, the literature has pointed out that the adjuvanticity mechanisms of aluminum salts remain basically unknown despite most active investigation in the field in recent years.” This is also true for other vaccine ingredients like MSG, mercury, aborted human fetal cells, animal DNA, etc. [16] http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4318414/

Fast forward to today, and we now know that injected aluminum doesn’t come under the same methods of excretion as aluminum taken in from eating food, for example. We know it gets carried by macrophages (white blood cells) and deposited into distant organs and the brain. After this, scientists actually decided to open up the brain of multiple autistic people and discovered the
highest brain aluminum content ever found inside of brain tissue. You can read more about that and access those studies here. [17]


As you can see, this is simply aluminum, and represents one of many reasons why more people are becoming hesitant to give their children heavily marketed vaccines. It’s plausible to believe that they are not as safe as they are advertised to be.

Why is this conversation trying to be shut down by health authorities? What is going on here?

Behavioral abnormalities in female mice following administration of aluminum adjuvants and the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine Gardasil have also been observed.

“Vaccine adjuvants and vaccines may induce autoimmune and inflammatory manifestations in susceptible individuals. To date most human vaccine trials utilize aluminum (Al) adjuvants as placebos despite much evidence showing that Al in vaccine-relevant exposures can be toxic to humans and animals...It appears that Gardasil via its Al adjuvant and HPV antigens has the ability to trigger neuro-inflammation and autoimmune reactions, further leading to behavioral changes...In light of these findings, this study highlights the necessity of proceeding with caution with respect to further mass-immunization practices with a vaccine of yet unproven long-term clinical benefit in cervical cancer prevention.” (Source) [18]


Another study published in Clinical Rheumatology exposes how vaccine manufacturers used phony placebos in clinical trials to conceal a wide range of devastating risks associated with HPV vaccines. Instead of using genuine inert placebos and comparing health impacts over a number of years, as is required for most new drug approvals, Merck and GlaxoSmithKline spiked their placebos with a neurotoxic aluminum adjuvant and cut observation periods to a matter of months.
Researchers from Mexico’s National Institute of Cardiology pored over 28 studies published throughout January 2017—16 randomized trials and 12 post-marketing case series—pertaining to the three human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines currently on the market globally. In their July 2017 peer-reviewed report, [19] the authors, Manuel Martínez-Lavin and Luis Amezcua-Guerra, uncovered evidence of numerous adverse events including life-threatening injuries, permanent disabilities, hospitalizations, and deaths reported after vaccination with GlaxoSmithKline’s bivalent Cervarix vaccine and Merck’s quadrivalent or nine-valent HPV vaccines (Gardasil and Gardasil 9).


Multiple peer-reviewed studies have connected aluminum exposures to a range of autoimmune and neurological disorders [20] including dementia and Alzheimer’s disease. A review [21] in the European Journal of Clinical Nutrition warned of dangerous accumulation of aluminum in the brain when, in the case of vaccinations, “protective gastrointestinal mechanisms are bypassed.” It’s time to go back to the drawing board on HPV vaccines and aluminum adjuvants. More importantly, the FDA needs to start enforcing the same rigorous pre-licensing safety testing for vaccines that has long been required for other drugs.


You can read more about that particular study here. [22]


Does It Make Sense To Get The Vaccine? The Takeaway

Does it make sense to allow your child to get the HPV vaccine? Though there are many factors that go into making this important decision, I believe the risks outweigh the benefits.

There are approximately 130 strains of HPV, and only about 15 of them are known to be associated with cervical cancer. And the vaccine only provides protection against 4 specific strains. [23] The vaccine also only provides approximately 5 years immunity, so you have to ask yourself: What are the odds
your 12-14 year old teenager will get one of these strains in the 5 year immunity period that the vaccine provides?  

Furthermore, 95 percent of HPV infections are removed from the body by the immune system, you don’t even have to detect it or treat it, and the body will simply get rid of it all by itself. 1 percent of the remaining 5 percent, if not identified and removed, will develop into pre-cancerous cells which will take approximately 5 years, and then a small percentage of this remaining 1 percent will progress into reversible cancer which is a process that will take approximately 15 to 30 years.

Even after that, if you’re asking, “Why risk it?” Well, if you read the information above and are aware of the risks of the ingredients like aluminum as well as the adverse reactions, you will see why, in my opinion, it’s not worth it.

It’s okay to question vaccine safety, and it’s okay to choose to not let your child be vaccinated with the HPV vaccine.

Dianne Harper, one of a select few specialists in OB/GYN (in the world) who helped design and carry out the Phase II and Phase III safety and effectiveness studies to get Gardasil approved has also been quite outspoken about the vaccine in the past:

“The benefit to public health is nothing, there is no reduction in cervical cancers, they are just postponed, unless the protection lasts for at least 15 years, and over 70% of all sexually active females of all ages are vaccinated.” “She also says that enough serious side effects have been reported after Gardasil use that the vaccine could prove riskier than the cervical cancer it purports to prevent. Cervical cancer is usually entirely curable when detected early through normal Pap screenings.” (Source) [24]


The Gardasil vaccine may actually be responsible for the rise in cervical cancer rates in multiple countries. You can read more about that and view the evidence for such claims here. [25]
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