

Glioblastoma Brain Tumor Month:

I have to credit Dr. Bill Deagle for his change of title. <https://www.opednews.com/articles/McCain-s-Glioblastoma-Sep-by-Dr-Betty-Martini-Aspartame-Brain-Tumors-Caused-By-Ajinomoto-Aspartame-180905-781.html> !! As he says "aspartame is too tame - it fries your brain"! I mentioned three well known celebrities, Senator John McCain, Senator Ted Kennedy and former Vice President Biden's son, Beau Biden with brain tumors and Dr. Deagle said he knew other ones. As many know, aspartame breaks down to diketopiperazine. In the movie, "Sweet Misery: A Poisoned World" - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z17_8FDzuJE you see two people who had aspartame brain tumors, Former U.S. Prosecutor in the Justice Department, Ed Johnson, and Bob Mehl. Bob Mehl just recently died. Both have warned people for years. Here is Ed Johnson's testimony: <http://www.mpwhi.com/aspartame-causes-brain-tumors.htm>

Dr. Russell Blaylock made a point when he did a cartoon of someone cooking a hot dog like a marshmallow on an aspartame victim's brain, that it certainly does fries the brain. He is the author of "Excitotoxins: The Taste That Kills" - www.amazon.com An informant told me that a couple of the Searle sons used Diet Coke and both died of aspartame brain tumors and it wasn't an easy death. G. D. Searle first marketed aspartame. Glioblastomas are so common I've had two hairdressers who had these brain tumors and during the conversation others mentioned close friends or family who also had them.

In Dr. Blaylock's book mentioned above he says on aspartame brain tumors on page 212 - 213: "It is interesting to note that the first experiments done to test the safety of aspartame before its final approval in 1981 disclosed a high incidence of brain tumors in the animals fed NutraSweet. In fact, this study was done by the manufacturer of NutraSweet, G. D. Searle. In this study 320 rats were fed aspartame and 120 rats were fed a normal diet and used as controls. The study lasted two years. At the end of the study twelve of the aspartame fed rats had developed brain tumors (astrocytomas), while none of the control rats had. This represented a 3.75% incidence of brain tumors in the rats fed aspartame, which was twenty-five times higher than the incidence of spontaneous brain tumors developing in rats (0.15%). "

"The study divided the rats into those exposed to low doses of aspartame and those exposed to a high dose. In the low dose group five of the rats developed brain tumors for an incidence of 3.13%. In the high dose group, seven developed brain tumors (4.38%). This indicates a dose related incidence of brain tumors. The higher the dose of aspartame, the more brain tumors were induced. "

"When Dr. John Olney pointed out these findings to the FDA "Aspartame Board of Inquiry" he was told that the high incidence of tumors was the result of spontaneous development of brain tumors in rats. That is, that some rats develop brain tumors naturally, just as humans do. Dr. Olney is a trained neuropathologist as well as a neuroscientist. He reviewed the incidence of spontaneously occurring brain tumors in rats and found that out of seven

studies using a total of 59,000 rats and only 0,08% developed brain tumors - the aspartame fed rats had a forty-seven fold higher incidence. But to be fair, he even accepted G. D. Searle's references for spontaneously developing brain tumors in rats and arrives at a figure of 0.15%. This was still a twenty-five fold higher incidence in the aspartame fed rats than in the control.

"It was then observed that when brain tumors develop spontaneously in rats, importantly, brain tumors are extremely rare before age one and one-half in the rat. So in truth the incidence of spontaneously occurring brain tumors would be even less than cited above. Yet, the aspartame fed rats developed two tumors by sixty weeks of age and five tumors by seventy weeks."

"In a collective study of 41,000 rats no tumors were seen to occur before sixty weeks and only one by seventy weeks. The fact that 320 aspartame fed rats developed six brain tumors by seventy-six weeks indicates an "incredible and unprecedented" occurrence. Within the final twenty-eight weeks of the study six more brain tumors occurred in the aspartame fed group. Dr. Olney notes that "one must assume that many more (brain tumors) would have occurred after 104 weeks. "

"It became obvious that the G. D. Searle Company was trying desperately to protect their potential billion dollar plus money maker. They claimed that more brain tumors were found because they searched the pathological slides so diligently. But, they searched just as diligently in the control rats and found none. Besides, neuropathologists examining the slides later stated that the tumors were large enough to be seen with the naked eye. "

"Because of the criticism submitted by Dr. Olney, the G. D. Searle company conducted a second study which was designed to be more comprehensive. Instead of a two-year study, this would span the entire lifetime of the rats, from intrauterine life to death. The results of this study can only be characterized as bizarre. This time they reported five brain tumors in 120 control rats (an incidence of 3.13%) and four brain tumors in 120 control rats (an incidence of 3.33%). While this was designed to show that aspartame was not the cause of the brain tumors, if accepted, the study would indicate that both groups had a brain tumor incidence thirty times higher than the known rate of spontaneous brain tumor occurrence in rats." "

But the story gets even more interesting, Dr. Olney hypothesized that one

possible cause of the tumor induction was a by-product of aspartame metabolism called diketopiperazine (DKP). When nitrosated by the gut it produces a compound closely resembling a powerful brain tumor causing chemical - N-nitrosourea. "

"The G. D. Searle company conducted a separate study to test the carcinogenicity of diketopiperazine (DKP). The results of this study were not submitted to the FDA until after aspartame had already been approved for general use by the American population. This study was not a lifetime study but rather a 115 week study which consisted of feeding rats their normal feed mixed with DKP. There were 114 control animals and 216 that supposedly ate the DKP. (Not all of the animals were even examined for tumors.) There were two brain tumors in the controls (1.62% incidence) and three (1.52% in the DKP groups. But strangely enough, the incidence of brain tumors found in both groups were sixteen times higher than would be expected from spontaneous occurring tumors. That did not make sense."

"So how can we explain these strange findings? It is instructive at this point to know that in 1975 the drug enforcement division of the Bureau of Foods investigated the G. D. Searle company as part of an investigation of "apparent irregularities in data collection and reporting practices." The director of the FDA at that time stated that they found "sloppy" laboratory techniques and "clerical errors, mixed-up animals, animals not getting the drugs they were supposed to get, pathological specimens lost because of improper handling, and a variety of other errors, (which) even if innocent, all conspire to obscure positive findings and produce falsely negative results."

"The drug enforcement division carried out a study under the care of agent Jerome Bressler concerning Searle's laboratory practices and data manipulation (known as the Bressler Report. He found that the feed used to test DKP had been improperly mixed so that the animals would receive only small doses of the chemical to be tested. (I have seen a photograph of the feed mix and can attest to the "sloppy" method used.) The commissioner also charged G. D. Searle company with "failure to maintain control and experimental animals on separate racks and failure to mark animals to ensure against mix-ups between experiments (animals fed aspartame and DKP) and controls." This vital and telling report was buried in a file cabinet, never to be acted on by the FDA."

"Such poor techniques would explain why both control animals and those

eating aspartame had exceptionally high brain tumor rates, since they, most likely, were both eating the aspartame feed. What is ironic is that the FDA would accept studies from a company with an obvious heavy financial interest in having aspartame approved. But even more amazing is that they would depend on the same company to provide studies that they, FDA, knew beforehand were highly questionable and possibly fraudulent upon which they would make such an important public safety decision."

"Thus far, no independent studies have been done to examine this vital issue. (Martini: Since Dr. Blaylock wrote this Dr. Peter Nunn did a study on brain tumors mentioned in the above OpEd article: As a neurosurgeon I see the devastating effects a brain tumor has, not only on its victim, but on the victim's family as well. To think that there is even a reasonable doubt that aspartame can induce brain tumors in the American population is frightening. And to think that the FDA has lulled them into a false sense of security is a monumental crime." (end of quotes from book)

I'm going to stop at this point from quoting the book although it goes on, and even discusses the association of primary brain lymphoma and aspartame, a particularly nasty tumor with a high mortality rate.

When Dr. Soffritti at the Ramazzini Institute did the first study Dr. Blaylock did comment on it:

Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock M.D. commented: "The new study released in the European Journal of oncology by Morando Soffritti and co-workers should terrify mothers and all those consuming aspartame sweetened products. This was a carefully done study which clearly demonstrated a statistically significant increase in several types of lymphomas and leukemias in rats. Both of these malignancies have increased significantly in this country since the widespread use of aspartame.

"This study confirmed the previous study by Dr. Trocho and co-workers, which also found the formaldehyde breakdown product of aspartame to be damaging to cellular DNA and that this damage was accumulative. The type of damage was a duplicate of that associated with cancers. Along with this most recent study, this means that drinking a single diet cola sweetened with aspartame every day could increase one's risk of developing a lymphoma or leukemia.

"They also found an increased incidence of malignant brain tumors, even though it was not statistically significant. This does not mean there is no association to brain tumors, since only the animals exposed to aspartame developed the tumors. With children and pregnant women drinking the largest amount of diet colas, this puts their children at the greatest risk of developing one of these horrible diseases. Their study found that even low doses of aspartame could cause these malignancies; yet, the higher the dose, the more cancers that were seen.

"Since aspartame can increase obesity and may even cause the metabolic syndrome that affects 48 million Americans, there is no reason to ever consume this product. At the least it should be immediately banned from all schools."

Dr. Blaylock wrote the Miami Herald to counteract propaganda by the Calorie Control Council, an aspartame front group: "It is known that the amount of toxic phenylalanine reaching the baby is twice as high as that in the mother's

blood because the placenta concentrates the toxin. In addition, numerous metabolic breakdown products of aspartame are known to damage the developing infant's brain, including methanol, formaldehyde, formic acid, diketopiperazine and phenylacetate.

"Aspartame has been shown by several studies to damage DNA, which can lead to cancer and degenerative brain disorders later in life. The risk of increased brain tumors in such a child would be enormous. Similar mechanisms of damage would be expected in these with liver disease. Studies on aspartame safety have shown that the product increases tumors throughout numerous organs, especially the brain. It was shown that brain tumor incidence increased over 47 times in animals exposed to aspartame.

"With the public concern over childhood obesity and diabetes few are being told of the overwhelming evidence that early exposure to excitotoxins as found in aspartame consistently produce gross obesity and insulin resistant diabetes, just as we are seeing in our youth. The promoters of aspartame use have been lying from the beginning and continue to use their money and political clout to cover up the real and present dangers of this toxic product."

It never stops when it comes to proof that aspartame was never proven safe and caused brain tumors. Here is the secret trade information which was submitted during congressional hearings. http://www.mpwhi.com/trade_secret_information_on_aspartame.htm You will notice their own confession that they had to consider complete conversion to DKP, the brain tumor agent, and if they told the FDA they would not get it approved.

FDA Toxicologist and Task Force member, Dr. Adrian Gross stated (Wilson 1985):

"They (G. D. Searle) lied and they didn't submit the real nature of their observations because had they done that it is more than likely that a great number of these studies would have been rejected simply for adequacy. What Searle did, they took great pains to camouflage these shortcomings of the study. As I say filter and just present to the FDA what they wished the FDA to know and they did other terrible things for instance animals would develop tumors while they were under study. Well they would remove these tumors from the animals."

FDA Lead Investigator and Task Force Team Leader, Phillip Brodsky described the 1975 FDA Task Force members as some of the most experienced drug investigators. He went on to state that he had never seen anything as bad as G. D. Searle's studies (Graves 1984; page S5499 of Congressional Record 1985a).

Chief FDA scientist Dr. Adrian Gross, gave several reasons why Searle's misconduct invalidated their experiments and one was: "It is highly unlikely that the FDA Investigative teams found all of the problems with G. D. Searle's studies. G. D. Searle seemed so intent on covering up their misconduct, that it is quite likely that they were able to hide many of the problems from the FDA." But Searle needed help to coverup the issue so on August 4, 1976 they met with the FDA and convinced them to allow them to hire a private agency,

University Associated for Education in Pathology (UAREP). As described by Florence Graves (1984, page s5500 of Congressional Record 1985a):

"The pathologists were specifically told that they were not to make a judgment about aspartame's safety or to look at the designs of the tests. Why did the FDA choose to have pathologists conduct an investigation when even some FDA officials acknowledged at the time that UAREP had a limited task which would only partially shed light on the validity of Searle's testing? The answer is not clear." In other words, UAREP was sworn to silence, and how much did they get to be quiet? They received a half a million dollars!!!

Searle was intent on getting aspartame approved. They had invested 19.7 million dollars in an incomplete production facility and 9.2 million dollars in aspartame inventory. On Dec 8, 1975, stockholders filed a class action lawsuit alleging that G. D. Searle had concealed information from the public regarding the nature and quality of animal research at G. D. Searle in violation of the Securities and Exchange Act (Farber 1989, page 48).

September is Aspartame Awareness Month, and this year it is in memorial to aspartame victim Reigh Parker Burch: http://www.mpwhi.com/reigh_parker_burch_is_dead.htm

We have been trying to get it banned since Don Rumsfeld forced approval with the help of President Reagan. So here are some things you can do. You can forward this note to your lists as a warning. Most importantly if everyone reading this would simply write their Senator and Congressman it would force the FDA to do something. They said they were broken in 2009. To make it easy you can send a copy of my letter to my Senator which outlines the entire issue. http://www.mpwhi.com/letter_to_the_honorable_senator_johnny_isakson.pdf You can even enclose the final petition to ban to the FDA: http://www.mpwhi.com/final_petition_to_ban_aspartame.pdf It's going to take hundreds of people doing this for it to work. Every letter counts.

You can also forward the Aspartame Resource Guide on my web site www.mpwhi.com which even has some of the books and medical texts. Also you can forward the FDA list of 92 symptoms on my web site so victims can see the FDA admits what aspartame triggers or precipitates. You can also put the information on social media. Many of you victims can also file a petition to ban aspartame.

As I said in the original post the aspartame industry will stoop to the most detestable depths to mislead the public. They can whip up a study or review with their friends and say absurd things. I thought I had seen it all until they actually had the audacity to say if you drank diet drinks with aspartame it would reduce the risk of recurrent colon cancer. Two physicians had such appropriate quotes.

Dr. Ralph Walton said: "One of the breakdown products of aspartame is methanol, which in turn is broken down into formaldehyde, a known potent carcinogen. To conclude that diet soda is associated with a lower, rather than a higher incidence of cancer, suggests that one of the funding sources of the study could be the sweetener industry, which tragically appears to be the case." Dr. Bill Deagle said, "That could be so because the victim is already dead of an aspartame brain tumor." I'll be doing a radio show with Dr. Deagle next Tuesday at 4:00 PM if you want to tune in. Just think of the epidemics and do something to stop this mass poisoning by aspartame and all its products from Equal, Spoonful, Advantame, AminoSweet to Canderel and Benevia. As Dr. Woodrow Monte said on the back of his book, "While Science Sleeps: A Sweetener Kills": "

"The introduction of aspartame into the food supply of the United States began in the summer of 1981. Since that time, the incidence of Alzheimer's deaths has increased 10,000%. Autism has, with no explanation, increased 2500%. Autoimmune diseases have reached epidemic proportions, with Lupus (SLE) up 300" and Multiple Sclerosis,

Type II Diabetes and Rheumatoid Arthritis headed out of control. Cancers, the hallmark of formaldehyde exposure, have exploded. Skin cancer has shot up over 400%, liver cancer has tripled, kidney cancer has doubled, and breast cancer is up 50%."...

Dr. Monte sums up his book - "It is a cautionary tale of the legacy of the danger of a poisonous food additive and the failure of a government, corrupted by greed, to safeguard the health and welfare of its people." It's a global plague and we all need to get involved to get it banned from the planet.

Dr. Betty Martini, D.Hum, Founder
Mission Possible World Health Intl
9270 River Club Parkway
Duluth, Georgia 30097
770 242-2599
www.mpwhi.com

More information on aspartame on www.wnho.net, www.holisticmed.com/aspartame and www.aspartamekills.com www.dorway.com was hacked but the files are on www.mpwhi.com